

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 FRANCINE EDWARDS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 CONN APPLIANCES, INC., et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01998-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation**

[ECF No. 159]

8 On September 30, 2020, Magistrate Judge Weksler granted in part plaintiff Francine
9 Edwards' motion to amend to the extent Edwards withdrew her claim under 47 U.S.C.
10 § 227(c)(5). Judge Weksler recommended that I deny Edwards' motion to amend to add a claim
11 under the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act. ECF No. 159.

12 Edwards did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review
13 of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de
14 novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which
15 objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en
16 banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de
17 novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

18 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler's report and recommendation
19 **(ECF No. 159) is accepted.** Plaintiff Francine Edwards' motion to amend **(ECF No. 133) is**
20 **granted in part and denied in part** as set forth in Judge Weksler's report and recommendation.

21 DATED this 15th day of October, 2020.

22 

23 ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE